

The impact of Chikungunya chronic arthralgia on women's upper limbs motor function: a crosssectional study

O impacto da artralgia crônica pós-Chikungunya na função motora dos membros superiores de mulheres: um estudo transversal

El impacto de la artralgia crónica poschikunguña en la función motora del miembro superior de mujeres: un estudio transversal

Germano Luís Rocha Machado¹, Rayane Quintão Castro², Ludimila Forechi³, Heloísa da Costa Souza⁴, Diogo Simões Fonseca⁵, Marco Antonio Cavalcanti Garcia⁶

ABSTRACT | Post-Chikungunya chronic arthralgia (PCCA) may lead to musculoskeletal repercussions and functional loss. The objective was to assess the upper limb physical disability and symptoms during daily, work, and leisure activities of women presenting PCCA compared to healthy controls (HC). This was a cross-sectional study conducted with 52 women. The participants were divided into PCCA (37) and HC (15) groups. Handgrip strength, range of motion, level of pain (numerical rating scale), and participants' physical disability and symptoms (Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand Questionnaire - DASH) were evaluated. Differences between groups were evaluated using the Students t-test and Pearson's correlations. The chi-square test was applied for categorical variables. The significance was set at α =0.05. The disease duration was 19.5±13.1 months. We found no differences between groups for peak force (PCAA:23.6±7.4kgf; HC: 24.5±6.2kgf; p=0.676). The results showed a significant difference between groups regarding range of motion (PCCA: 63.5±17.3°; HC: 77.2±9.6°), level of hand pain (PCCA: 5.8±2.2; HC: 0.4±1.5), and upper limbs functional levels (PCCA: 44.5±17.4; HC: 16.2±20.5). Participants related severe difficulty or inability to perform

tasks such as opening a jar (78.4%), placing objects above head height (48.7%), doing heavy household chores (56.8%), and gardening (51.4%). Impairment in the upper limb physical function in daily, work, and leisure activities shows the higher prevalence in the long-term.

Keywords | Chikungunya Virus; Arthralgia; Upper Extremities; Functional Status.

RESUMO | A artralgia crônica pós-Chikungunya (ACPC) pode gerar repercussões musculoesqueléticas e perda funcional. Nesse sentido, o objetivo deste estudo foi avaliar a incapacidade física e os sintomas de membros superiores de mulheres com ACPC durante atividades diárias, laborais e de lazer comparadas aos controles saudáveis (CS). Para tanto, realizou-se um estudo transversal conduzido com 52 mulheres. As participantes foram divididas entre os grupos com ACPC (37) e CS (15). Foram avaliados força de preensão, amplitude de movimento (ADM), nível de dor (escala numérica de dor) e incapacidade física e sintomas por meio do *Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand Questionnaire* (Dash). Diferenças entre os grupos foram avaliadas pelo teste t

⁴Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF) – Juiz de Fora (MG), Brazil. E-mail: heloisa231998@gmail.com. ORCID-0000-0003-1671-4641 ⁵Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF) – Juiz de Fora (MG), Brazil. E-mail: diogo.simoes@ufjf.edu.br. ORCID-0000-0002-3904-839X ⁶Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF) – Juiz de Fora (MG), Brazil. E-mail: marco.garcia@ufjf.br. ORCID-0000-0002-8225-6573

Corresponding address: Rayane Quintão Castro – Av. Eugênio do Nascimento, s/n – Juiz de Fora (MG), Brazil – ZIP Code: 36038-330 – E-mail: rayaneqc@gmail.com – Financing source: nothing to declare – Conflict of interests: nothing to declare – Presentation: July 18th, 2022 – Accepted for publication: Nov. 24th, 2022 – Approved by the Research Ethics Committee: CAAE 98254718.4.0000.5147.

This study was performed in Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora – *campus* Governador Valadares (MG), Brazil and has never been presented at scientific events.

¹Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF) – Governador Valadares (MG), Brazil. E-mail: germanolrmachado@gmail.com. ORCID-0000-0002-5658-9980

²Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF) – Juiz de Fora (MG), Brazil. E-mail: rayaneqc@gmail.com. ORCID-0000-0002-8452-2740 ³Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (UFJF) – Governador Valadares (MG), Brazil. E-mail: ludimila.forechi@ufjf.edu.br. ORCID-0000-0002-6657-0503

de Student e correlações de Pearson. O teste qui-quadrado foi utilizado para variáveis categóricas e α =0.05 foi estabelecido como nível de significância. Verificou-se que a duração da ACPC foi de 19,5±13,1 meses. Não houve diferença entre os grupos para a força pico (ACPC: 23,6±7,4kgf; CS: 24,5±6,2kgf; p=0,676). Os resultados demonstraram diferença significativa entre os grupos em termos de ADM (ACPC: 63,5±17,3°; CS: 77,2±9,6°), nível de dor nas mãos (ACPC: 5,8±2,2; CS: 0,4±1,5) e níveis funcionais dos membros superiores (ACPC: 44.5±17.4; CS: 16.2±20.5). As participantes relataram extrema dificuldade ou incapacidade para realizar tarefas como abrir um pote (78,4%), colocar objetos em um local acima da cabeca (48,7%), realizar atividades domésticas pesadas (56,8%) e atividades de jardinagem (51,4%). Conclui-se que a função física dos membros superiores durante as atividades diárias, laborais e de lazer constitui o maior comprometimento apresentado a longo prazo.

Palavras-chave |: Vírus Chikungunya; Artralgia; Membros Superiores; Estado Funcional.

RESUMEN | La artralgia crónica poschikunguña (ACPC) puede tener como efecto repercusiones musculoesqueléticas y pérdida funcional. En este sentido, el objetivo de este estudio fue evaluar la discapacidad física y los síntomas de miembros superiores de mujeres con ACPC durante las actividades diarias, laborales y de ocio en comparación con controles sanos (CS). Por ello, se realizó un estudio transversal con 52 mujeres. Las participantes se dividieron en los grupos ACPC (37) y CS (15). La fuerza de agarre, el rango de movimiento (ROM), el nivel de dolor (escala numérica de dolor), la discapacidad física y los síntomas se evaluaron mediante el Disabilities of the Arm. Shoulder and Hand Questionnaire (Dash). Las diferencias entre los grupos se evaluaron con la aplicación de la prueba t de Student y las correlaciones de Pearson. Se utilizó la prueba de chi-cuadrado para las variables categóricas y se estableció como nivel de significación α =0,05. Se encontró que la duración de la ACPC fue de 19,5±13,1 meses. No hubo diferencia entre los grupos para la fuerza máxima (ACPC: 23,6±7,4kgf; CS: 24,5±6,2kgf; p=0,676). Los resultados mostraron una diferencia significativa entre los grupos respecto al ROM (ACPC: 63,5±17,3°; CS: 77,2±9,6°), el nivel de dolor en la mano (ACPC: 5,8±2,2; CS: 0,4±1,5) y los niveles funcionales de miembros superiores (ACPC: 44,5±17,4; CS: 16,2±20,5). Las participantes informaron una extrema dificultad o incapacidad para realizar tareas como abrir un frasco (78,4%), poner objetos en un lugar más alto (48,7%), realizar actividades domésticas pesadas (56,8%) y actividades de jardinería (51,4%). Se concluyó que la función física de los miembros superiores durante las actividades diarias, laborales y de ocio constituye el mayor compromiso a largo plazo. Palabras clave Virus Chikungunya; Artralgia; Miembros Superiores; Estado Funcional.

INTRODUCTION

Chikungunya fever is an arboviral disease transmitted by infected mosquitos from the *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* species¹. Chikungunya virus is geographically distributed throughout over 60 countries², and from 2004 to 2011, it has affected almost 6.5 million people worldwide³. Chikungunya fever causes intense and debilitating joint pain with an inflammatory character, which may persist after the acute infection⁴. Up to 87% of patients may still have symptoms three months after diagnosis, and one out of eight have joint pain three years after Chikungunya infection^{1,5}. Some factors have been associated with post-Chikungunya chronic arthralgia (PCCA), such as being female, older age, viral persistence, pre-existing autoimmune or joint diseases, and presence of comorbidities⁶.

PCCA is usually symmetrical and may affect one or more joints. Wrists and hands are the most affected sites^{7,8}.

As a result, the patient's functional status and quality of life might be compromised^{6,9}. Similar to Chikungunya, pathologies that affect peripheral joints—such as rheumatoid arthritis—increase the risks of developing incapacity and functionality loss¹⁰. In these cases, increased pain and reduced mobility, muscle strength, and agility might occur and may be related to patients' difficulty in maintaining independence levels^{9,11-13}.

These studies also claim that such repercussions contribute to functional loss. Nonetheless, the literature does not specify the most impaired daily life, work, and leisure activities. Therefore, this study aimed to assess upper limb physical disability and symptoms during daily, work, and leisure activities of women presenting PCCA compared to healthy controls. Moreover, we compared self-perceived health status, handgrip strength, wrist range of motion (ROM), and hand joint mobility between groups. We hypothesized that, due to the disease impact, the PCCA group would present worse results.

METHODOLOGY

Study design, participants, selection criteria, and ethical aspects

In this cross-sectional study, 52 women aged 41 to 69 years were invited to participate. Among the total, 37 had a previous history of Chikungunya fever and current PCCA diagnosis (PCCA group) and 15 were healthy controls (control group). The sample was exclusively composed of women from the communities of Governador Valadares and Frei Inocêncio, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Participants were clarified about the study details and signed an informed consent form, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, before their participation. Participants were recruited by social media divulgation and study flyers in Primary Health Care units.

PCCA was confirmed by a rheumatologist according to the Brazilian Society of Rheumatology criteria for diagnosis and treatment of Chikungunya fever. Briefly, the criteria state that—in epidemic situations—Chikungunya fever can be diagnosed if an individual presents symptoms and resides in or has visited an endemic or epidemic area within 15 days before symptoms start or if there is an epidemiological link with a confirmed case⁶. In both groups, those presenting previous severe joint diseases (such as rheumatoid arthritis, rhizoarthrosis, and psoriasis), attention or speech disorders, or inability to perform the functional tests (according to clinical judgment) were excluded. The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) was used to evaluate volunteers' cognitive state. Volunteers were included if they scored ≥17 (for illiterate) or 24 (for literate)¹⁴.

The sample calculation was based on a previous study. The sample size required was 45 participants for a 0.5 effect size, a 5% α error, and a 95% confidence interval. The calculation was conducted using the G*Power software program (Version 3.1.9.6 – Universität Kiel, Germany).

Data collect

A standardized questionnaire was applied to assess the demographic profile, employment status, absence from work, and weight and height to calculate body mass index (BMI). Then, patients were requested to rate their self-perceived health status as "very good" or "good," "fair," "poor" or "very poor," or "I do not know".

The handgrip strength from the dominant upper limb was measured using a manual force transducer (sampling frequency: 2000Hz; nominal capacity: 200kgf; EMG System Brasil[®], São José dos Campos-SP, Brazil). The dominant upper limb was determined using the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory. Participants who scored from 40 to 100 were classified as right-handed and from –40 to –100 as left-handed¹⁵. Volunteers were comfortably seated, with their forearms flexed to 90° over a flat surface, and their wrists in a neutral position¹⁶. Participants were instructed and verbally encouraged to handgrip the dynamometer with maximum force for 10 seconds. Three attempts of maximal isometric effort within a 5-minute interval were performed. The peak force was considered the maximum value reached after all attempts. The average force was calculated as the average of the values recorded between the third and eighth seconds of each attempt.

The range of motion (ROM) of the wrists was evaluated by manual goniometry. Volunteers were comfortably seated with their forearm pronated and elbow flexed at approximately 90°. The axis of the goniometer was fixed on the medial surface of the wrist, just proximal to the ulnar styloid process. The fixed arm of the goniometer was placed over the medial surface of the ulna, and its movable arm was placed over the medial surface of the fifth metacarpal bone. Patients were asked to fully flex their wrists. Then, ROM was recorded.

Current level of pain on the hand was evaluated by the visual analog scale (VAS), ranging from 0 (lack of pain) to 10 (the worst pain ever felt). Specifically, the pain was classified as absent of pain (0), mild pain (1-3), moderate pain (4-6), and severe pain (7-10)¹⁷.

Participants' physical disability and symptoms during their daily, work, and leisure activities were evaluated using the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (DASH) questionnaire (Spearman's correlation coefficients for interobserver evaluation: 0.762 to 0.995; intraclass correlation coefficients for interobserver evaluation: 0.97 to 0.99). DASH comprises 30 questions designed to measure the upper limbs' physical disability and symptoms based on patients' perspectives. Two items address physical function, six symptoms, and three assess social function. Additionally, an optional four-item work module was used. For such, questions were based on housework if the volunteer was currently unemployed¹⁸. They were asked to rate their ability to perform the activities described in each item using a 5-point Likert scale, considering only the last week. The total DASH score was calculated by summing the scores of the 30 items, subtracting by 30, and then dividing it by 1.2. For the work module, the total score was calculated

by summing all the scores, subtracting the result by 4, and dividing it by 0.16. Total scores range from 0 (no dysfunction) to 100 (severe dysfunction)¹⁹.

Statistical analysis

Data were summarized as means and standard deviations or absolute frequencies and percentages. Differences between groups were evaluated using the Student's t-test for independent samples and Pearson's correlations. The chi-square test was applied for categorical variables. The significance was set at a=0.05. All tests were

Table 1. Participants' characteristics

performed using the R Statistical program (R Core Team 2019, Vienna, Austria).

RESULTS

Participants

Table 1 shows the participants' characteristics. The groups were homogeneous regarding age, schooling years, skin-color, employment status, and body mass index, unless in the selfrelated health status and absence from work.

| Characteristic | CG (n=15) | PCCA (n=37) | p-value |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Age (mean±SD) | 54.5±9.24 | 53.45±7.32 | 0.666 |
| Schooling years | | | 0.454 |
| ≤9 years | 3 (20) | 14 (37.8) | |
| 10-12 years | 9 (60) | 15 (40.5) | |
| >12 years | 3 (20) | 8 (21.6) | |
| Skin-color | | | 0.854 |
| Black | 4 (26.7) | 9 (24.3) | |
| Mixed-race | 8 (53.3) | 17 (46) | |
| White | 2 (13.3) | 9 (24.3) | |
| Other | 1(6.7) | 2 (5.4) | |
| Self-related health status | | | 0.005 |
| Good and very good | 12 (80) | 12 (32.4) | |
| Fair, poor, and very poor | 3 (20) | 24 (64.9) | |
| Not answered | 0(0) | 1 (2.7) | |
| Employment status | | | 0.297 |
| Active and formal | 7 (46.7) | 10 (27.0) | |
| Active and informal | 3 (20) | 13 (35.1) | |
| Inactive with income | 4 (26.7) | 6 (16.2) | |
| Inactive without income | 1(6.7) | 8 (21.6) | |
| Absence from work | | | 0.021 |
| <15 days | 2 (13.3) | 17 (45.9) | |
| >15 days | 0(0) | 6 (16.2) | |
| Without absence | 13 (86.7) | 14 (37.8) | |
| Body mass index (mean±SD) | 28.1±3.5 | 29.6±5.6 | 0.671 |
| Disease duration (months) (mean±SD) | - | 19.5±13.1 | - |

Values are presented with frequency (percentage) unless indicated otherwise. CG: control group; PCCA: post Chikungunya chronic arthralgia.

Strength, range of motion, pain, and physical disability

We found no significant differences between groups for peak force and average force for the dominant hand, but regarding the wrist flexion ROM, results showed a significant difference between the CG and PCCA group. Similarly, the PCCA group presented the highest level of pain. Furthermore, the main DASH scores showed that participants in the CG had significantly better physical function levels than participants in the PCCA group. On the other hand, PCCA participants related severe difficulty or inability to do tasks like opening a new jar (78.4%), placing objects above their head (48.7%), doing heavy household chores (56.8%), gardening (51.4%), carrying shopping bags (43.2%), and participating in different recreational activities. Moreover, the work module DASH score indicated that PCCA had much more difficulty during work activities, especially doing it as well as they would like to and spending the usual amount of time (Table 2). Table 3 shows the details of the answers to the DASH questionnaire.

Table 2. Strength, range of motion, pain, and functionality parameters

| Parameter | CG (n=15) | PCCA (n=37) | p-value |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Dominant peak force (kgf) | 24.5±6.2 | 23.6±7.4 | 0.676 |
| Dominant average force (kgf) | 16.6±10.0 | 17.9±9.2 | 0.824 |
| Range of motion wrist flexion (°) | 77.2±9.6 | 63.5±17.3 | 0.005 |
| Pain | 0.4±1.5 | 5.8±2.2 | <0.001 |
| DASH score | 16.2±20.5 | 44.5±17.4 | <0.001 |
| Work module DASH score | 43.7±27.3 | 84.1±22.6 | < 0.001 |

Values are presented with mean±standard deviation unless indicated otherwise.

Table 3. Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand Questionnaire (DASH) - main module

| DASH ITEMS | | CG (n=15) | | | PCCA (n=37) | | р |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| DIFFICULTY | NO AND MILD N (%) | MODERATE N (%) | SEVERE AND UNABLE N (%) | NO AND MILD N (%) | MODERATE N (%) | SEVERE AND UNABLE N (%) | |
| 1. Open a tight or new jar | 12 (80) | 1(6.7) | 2 (13.3) | 3 (8.1) | 5 (13.5) | 29 (78.4) | 0.001 |
| 2. Write | 14 (93.3) | 1(6.7) | 0(0) | 20 (54.1) | 10 (27) | 7 (18.9) | 0.021 |
| 3. Turn a key | 15 (100) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 26 (70.3) | 7 (18.9) | 4 (10.8) | 0.049 |
| 4. Prepare a meal | 13 (86.7) | 1(6.7) | 1(6.7) | 23 (62.2) | 10 (27) | 4 (10.8) | 0.235 |
| 5. Push open a heavy door | 10 (66.7) | 2 (13.3) | 3 (20) | 15 (40.6) | 13 (35.1) | 9 (24.3) | 0.244 |
| 6. Place an object on a shelf above your head | 11 (73.3) | 3 (20) | 1(6.7) | 14 (37.8) | 5 (13.5) | 18 (48.7) | 0.020 |
| 7. Do heavy household chores | 10 (66.7) | 3 (20) | 2 (13.3) | 11 (29.7) | 5 (13.5) | 21 (56.8) | 0.017 |
| 8. Garden or do yard work | 10 (66.7) | 3 (20) | 2 (13.3) | 13 (35.1) | 5 (13.5) | 19 (51.4) | 0.043 |
| 9. Make a bed | 12 (80) | 1(6.7) | 2 (13.3) | 23 (62.2) | 10 (27) | 4 (10.8) | 0.288 |
| 10. Carry a shopping bag or briefcase | 11 (73.3) | 3 (20) | 1(6.7) | 10 (27) | 11 (29.7) | 16 (43.2) | 0.006 |
| 11. Carry a heavy object (over 10 lbs.) | 11 (73.3) | 2 (13.3) | 2 (13.3) | 11 (29.7) | 9 (24.3) | 17 (46) | 0.014 |
| 12. Change a lightbulb overhead | 9 (60) | 2 (13.3) | 4 (26.7) | 10 (27) | 9 (24.3) | 18 (48.7) | 0.095 |
| 13. Wash or blow dry your hair | 10 (66.7) | 3 (20) | 2 (13.3) | 14 (37.8) | 9 (24.3) | 14 (37.8) | 0.142 |
| 14. Wash your back | 12 (80) | 2 (13.3) | 1(6.7) | 21 (56.8) | 4 (10.8) | 12 (32.5) | 0.148 |
| 15. Put on a pullover sweater | 12 (80) | 1(6.7) | 2 (13.3) | 23 (62.2) | 6 (16.2) | 8 (21.6) | 0.464 |
| 16. Use a knife to cut food | 12 (80) | 2 (13.3) | 1(6.7) | 22 (59.5) | 9 (24.3) | 6 (16.2) | 0.376 |
| 17. Recreational activities which require little effort | 13 (86.7) | 1(6.7) | 1(6.7) | 16 (43.2) | 7 (18.9) | 14 (37.8) | 0.017 |
| 18. Recreational activities in which you take some force or impact through your arm, shoulder, or hand | 9 (60) | 1(6.7) | 5 (33.3) | 9 (24.3) | 10 (27) | 18 (48.7) | 0.034 |
| 19. Recreational activities in which you move your arm freely | 11 (73.3) | 1(6.7) | 3 (20) | 10 (27) | 10 (27) | 17 (46) | 0.004 |
| 20. Manage transportation needs | 12 (80) | 1(6.7) | 2 (13.3) | 21 (56.8) | 9 (24.3) | 7 (18.9) | 0.273 |
| 21. Sexual activities | 12 (80) | 1(6.7) | 2 (13.3) | 23 (62.2) | 6 (16.2) | 8 (21.6) | 0.493 |
| INTERFERENCE IN SOCIAL ACTIVITIES | NOT AT ALL AND SLIGHTLY N (%) | MODERATELY N (%) | QUITE A BIT AND EXTREMELY N (%) | NOT AT ALL AND SLIGHTLY N (%) | MODERATELY N (%) | QUITE A BIT AND EXTREMELY N (%) | |
| 22. During the past week, to what extent has your arm, shoulder, or hand problem interfered with your normal social activities with family, friends, neighbors, or groups? | 13 (86.7) | 2 (13.3) | 0 (0) | 18 (48.7) | 9 (24.3) | 10 (27) | 0.032 |

(continues)

Table 3. Continuation

| DASH ITEMS | | CG (n=15) | | | PCCA (n=37) | | р |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|-------|
| WORK AND DAILY ACTIVITIES LIMITATIONS | NOT AT ALL AND SLIGHTLY N (%) | MODERATELY N (%) | VERY AND UNABLE N (%) | NOT AT ALL AND SLIGHTLY N (%) | MODERATELY N (%) | VERY AND UNABLE N (%) | _ |
| 23. During the past week, were you limited in your work or other regular daily activities as a result of your arm, shoulder or hand problem? | 13 (86.7) | 1 (6.7) | 1(6.7) | 16 (43.2) | 8 (21.6) | 13 (35.1) | 0.014 |
| SYMPTOMS SEVERITY IN THE LAST WEEK | NONE AND MILD N (%) | MODERATE N (%) | SEVERE AND EXTREME N (%) | NONE AND MILD N (%) | MODERATE N (%) | SEVERE AND EXTREME N (%) | |
| 24. Arm, shoulder, or hand pain | 13 (86.7) | 2 (13.3) | 0 (0) | 6 (16.2) | 12 (32.5) | 17 (46) | 0.001 |
| 25. Arm, shoulder, or hand pain when you performed any specific activity | 11 (73.3) | 3 (20) | 1(6.7) | 9 (24.3) | 15 (40.5) | 11 (29.7) | 0.003 |
| 26. Tingling (pins and needles) in your arm, shoulder, or hand | 13 (86.7) | 0 (0) | 2 (13.3) | 18 (48.7) | 6 (16.2) | 10 (27) | 0.037 |
| 27. Weakness in your arm, shoulder, or hand | 14 (93.3) | 1(6.7) | 0 (0) | 12 (32.5) | 13 (35.1) | 10 (27) | 0.001 |
| 28. Stiffness in your arm, shoulder, or hand | 12 (80) | 1 (6.7) | 2 (13.3) | 11 (29.7) | 12 (32.5) | 12 (32.5) | 0.008 |
| SLEEP DIFFICULTY | NO AND MILD N (%) | MODERATE N (%) | SEVERE AND SO MUCH THAT I CAN'T SLEEP N (%) | NO AND MILD N (%) | MODERATE N (%) | SEVERE AND SO MUCH THAT I CAN'T SLEEP N (%) | |
| 29. During the past week, how much difficulty have you had sleeping because of the pain in your arm, shoulder, or hand? | 12 (80) | 1(6.7) | 2 (13.3) | 15 (40.5) | 6 (16.2) | 14 (37.8) | 0.069 |
| SELF-PERCEPTION OF HEALTH STATE | STRONGLY DISAGREE AND DISAGREE N (%) | NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE N (%) | AGREE AND STRONGLY AGREE N (%) | STRONGLY DISAGREE AND DISAGREE N (%) | NEITHER AGREE NOR DISAGREE N (%) | AGREE AND STRONGLY AGREE N (%) | |
| 30. I feel less capable, less confident, or less useful because of my arm, shoulder, or hand problem | 12 (80) | 0 (0) | 3 (20) | 14 (37.8) | 4 (10.8) | 17 (45.9) | 0.016 |
| WORK MODULE DIFFICULTY DURING WORK ACTIVITIES | NO AND MILD N (%) | MODERATE N (%) | SEVERE AND UNABLE N (%) | NO AND MILD N (%) | MODERATE N (%) | SEVERE AND UNABLE N (%) | |
| 1. Using your usual technique for your work? | 11 (73.3) | 3 (20) | 1(6.7) | 8 (21.6) | 15 (40.5) | 14 (37.8) | 0.005 |
| 2. Doing your usual work because of arm, shoulder, or hand pain? | 11 (73.3) | 2 (13.3) | 2 (13.3) | 8 (21.6) | 12 (32.4) | 17 (46) | 0.006 |
| 3. Doing your work as well as you would like? | 11 (73.3) | 3 (20) | 1(6.7) | 5 (13.5) | 14 (37.8) | 18 (48.7) | 0.001 |
| 4. Spending your usual amount of time doing your work? | 10 (66.7) | 1(6.7) | 4 (26.7) | 5 (13.5) | 13 (35.1) | 19 (51.4) | 0.001 |

DASH: Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand Questionnaire; CG: control group; PCCA: post Chikungunya chronic arthralgia.

Correlation

Moderate negative correlation and significant association were found between Work Module DASH score and wrist flexion ROM (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Correlation between Work Module DASH Score and wrist flexion range of movement

DISCUSSION

This study aimed to evaluate upper limb physical disability and symptoms during daily, work, and leisure activities of women presenting PCCA. Moreover, the study compared handgrip strength, ROM, and pain of adult women with PCCA with healthy controls. The results indicated no difference between groups regarding handgrip strength. On the other hand, we observed worse wrist flexion range of motion, severe levels of pain, impaired upper-limb physical function, and difficulty during work activities in the PCCA group.

Regarding handgrip strength, our finding goes against Forechi et al.¹³, who compared the handgrip strength of older adults with and without Chikungunya fever for almost three months. The results showed impaired bilateral force in those with Chikungunya fever¹³. In their study, the PCCA group had almost 19 months of disease, emphasizing that the reduction of handgrip strength is not the most compromised ability in the long-term. The levels of handgrip strength of the participants of our study are similar to the reference values of healthy adults proposed by Schlüssel et al.²⁰. However, the upper-limb physical function in daily life activities is impaired even in the late chronic phase of the disease.

Despite having similar handgrip strength to individuals in CG, PCCA participants presented some musculoskeletal complications. The PCCA group had a worse wrist flexion ROM than CG. Although the isolated analysis of this movement is clinically common, the coupling pattern between flexion-extension and radioulnar deviation is more reliable for performing daily life tasks²¹. Generally, limited ROM leads to functional disability^{22,23}. However, we must consider the coupling of movements and other factors, such as pain, which confuses the link between kinematics and wrist function²⁴.

Joint pain is the most typical clinical manifestation of Chikungunya fever and can last for months or years^{25,26}. Our results show a moderate pain level in the PCCA group, similar to Tritsch et al.⁵. Joint pain may limit activities, lead to disability, and contribute to worse mental aspects, such as depression states²⁷. Soumahoro et al.²⁸ identified that the persistent disabilities caused by Chikungunya fever generate a moderate effect on quality of life. Similarly, Watson et al.²⁶ showed that musculoskeletal stiffness caused by Chikungunya fever is a quality of life determinant. Although we did not assess the quality of life, we found that PCCA participants presented poor autorelated health status. In contrast, most CG participants considered their health status as good or very good.

Chikungunya fever interferes with the individual's work and health status and daily activities. Most PCCA participants missed work for some days in this study. Besides absence from work, PCCA participants related difficulties performing work activities with the same efficiency before Chikungunya fever. This difficulty may contribute to decreasing work productivity and lead to a negative effect on the social security system and economic aspects. Studies showing the effects of Chikungunya fever on work are scarce. Bastos, Abreu, and Silva Jr.²⁹ showed that in 2017, each public servant of two public institutions in Ceará State had, on average, 20.6 missing days of work due to Chikungunya fever. Although data about this subject are scarce, Chikungunya fever has clinical manifestations that mimic rheumatoid arthritis³⁰. This condition, in turn, is related to disabilities that may interfere with seeking employments and opportunities and maintaining good performances at work³¹.

Regarding the effect of Chikungunya fever on work, we found a moderate negative correlation and a significant association between wrist flexion ROM and work module DASH score. In other words, less wrist flexion is associated with an increased work module DASH score. Notably, higher work module DASH scores represent greater difficulty in carrying out work activities as well as carrying them out on adequate time and with the desired quality. This suggests that wrist mobility, especially in flexion, is essential for performing work tasks.

This study main limitations include the study design that does not allow cause-effect inferences. Thus, future studies in three principal areas (prevention, assessment, and treatment) are necessary. Studies on prevention and control strategies can prevent new outbreaks of Chikungunya. Studies on assessment strategies allow a correct evaluation of all aspects involved in the chronicity of the disease. And finally, studies portraying treatment measures can reduce the effect of long-term symptoms on individuals' functionality and productivity. This study also has methodological limitations, like the imbalance between groups and the sample exclusively composed of women. Despite these limitations, this study contributes to the Chikungunya Fever's discussion and its repercussions on the motor function of the upper limb. Moreover, this study may support the debate on the main aspects involved in the physical assessment of individuals with post-Chikungunya chronic arthralgia and then guide the rehabilitation process.

CONCLUSION

Women with almost 20 months of Chikungunya arthralgia showed similar handgrip strength compared to healthy women. On the other hand, they showed a worse range of motion on wrist flexion and greater hand pain level than healthy women. In addition, the participants showed severe difficulty or inability to do tasks like opening a new jar, placing objects above their heads, doing heavy household chores, gardening, carrying shopping bags, and participating in different recreational activities. These findings demonstrate that other aspects besides strength, range of motion or pain, need to be evaluated and considered in the therapeutic approach. Upper limb physical function in daily, work, and leisure activities showed the worst results in the long-term.

REFERENCES

- Brighton SW, Prozesky OW, De La Harpe AL. Chikungunya virus infection. A retrospective study of 107 cases. S Afr Med J. 1983;63(9):313-5.
- Mathew AJ, Ganapati A, Kabeerdoss J, Nair A, Gupta N, et al. Chikungunya infection: a global public health menace. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep. 2017;17(2):13. doi: 10.1007/ s11882-017-0680-7.
- Pathak H, Mohan MC, Ravindran V. Chikungunya arthritis. Clin Med (London). 2019;19(5):381-5. doi: 10.7861/clinmed.2019-0035.
- Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Monitoramento dos casos de arboviroses urbanas transmitidas pelo Aedes (dengue, chikungunya e Zika) até a Semana Epidemiológica 12 de 2019. Boletim Epidemiológico. 2019;50:1-13.

- Tritsch SR, Encinales L, Pacheco N, Cadena A, Cure C, et al. Chronic joint pain 3 years after Chikungunya virus infection largely characterized by relapsing-remitting symptoms. J Rheumatol. 2020;47(8):1267-74. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.190162.
- Marques CDL, Duarte ALBP, Ranzolin A, Dantas AT, Cavalcanti NG, et al. Recommendations of the Brazilian Society of Rheumatology for diagnosis and treatment of Chikungunya fever. Part 1 – Diagnosis and special situations. Rev Bras Reumatol. 2017;57(S2):421-37. doi: 10.1016/j.rbre.2017.05.006.
- Chopra A, Anuradha V, Ghorpade R, Saluja M. Acute Chikungunya and persistent musculoskeletal pain following the 2006 Indian epidemic: a 2-year prospective rural community study. Epidemiol Infect. 2012;140(5):842-50. doi: 10.1017/ S0950268811001300.
- Simon F, Javelle E, Cabie A, Bouquillard E, Troisgros O, et al. French guidelines for the management of chikungunya (acute and persistent presentations). November 2014. Med Mal Infect. 2015;45(7):243-63. doi: 10.1016/j.medmal.2015.05.007.
- Murillo-Zamora E, Mendoza-Cano O, Trujillo-Hernández B, Guzmán-Esquivel J, Higareda-Almaraz E, et al. Persistent arthralgia and related risks factors: a cohort study at 12 months from laboratory-confirmed Chikungunya infection. Arch Med Res. 2018;49(1):65-73. doi: 10.1016/j.arcmed.2018.04.008.
- Almeida PHTQ, Pontes TB, Matheus JPC, Muniz LF, Mota LMH. Occupational therapy in rheumatoid arthritis: what rheumatologists need to know? Rev Bras Reumatol. 2015;55(3):272-80. doi: 10.1016/j.rbr.2014.07.008.
- Nyffeler RW, Werner CML, Gerber C. Biomechanical relevance of glenoid component positioning in the reverse Delta III total shoulder prosthesis. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2005;14(5):524-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2004.09.010.
- Silva TSS, Massa LDB. A utilização de órteses de membro superior em pacientes com artrite reumatoide: uma revisão de literatura no campo da terapia ocupacional. Cad Ter Ocup UFSCar. 2015;23(3):647-59. doi: 10.4322/0104-4931.ctoAR0522.
- Forechi L, Silveira-Nunes G, Barbosa MA, Barbosa EG, Santos DL, et al. Pain, balance, grip strength and gait parameters of older adults with and without post-chikungunya chronic arthralgia. Trop Med Int Health. 2018;23(12):1394-400. doi: 10.1111/tmi.13154.
- Bertolucci PHF, Brucki SMD, Campacci SR, Juliano Y. O Mini-Exame do Estado Mental em uma população geral: impacto da escolaridade. Arq Neuropsiquiatr. 1994;52(1):1-7. doi: 10.1590/ S0004-282X1994000100001.
- 15. Oldfield RC. The assessment and analysis of handedness: the Edinburgh inventory. Neuropsychologia. 1971;9(1):97-113. doi: 10.1016/0028-3932(71)90067-4.
- MacDermid J, Solomon G, Valdes K, editors. Clinical assessment recommendations: Impairment-based conditions. 3rd ed. Mount Laurel: American Society of Hand Therapists; 2015.
- 17. Nugraha B, Gutenbrunner C, Barke A, Karst M, Schiller J, et al. The IASP classification of chronic pain for ICD-11: functioning properties of chronic pain. Pain. 2019;160(1):88-94. doi: 10.1097/j. pain.00000000001433.
- Orfale AG, Araújo PMP, Ferraz MB, Natour J. Translation into Brazilian Portuguese, cultural adaptation and evaluation of the reliability of the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand Questionnaire. Braz J Med Biol Res. 2005;38(2):293-302. doi: 10.1590/S0100-879X2005000200018.

- 19. Silva GS, Lourenço MA, Assis MR. Hand strength in patients with RA correlates strongly with function but not with activity of disease. Adv Rheumatol. 2018;58:20. doi: 10.1186/ s42358-018-0020-1.
- 20. Schlüssel MM, Anjos LA, Vasconcellos MTL, Kac G. Reference values of handgrip dynamometry of healthy adults: a population-based study. Clin Nutr. 2008;27(4):601-7. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2008.04.004.
- 21. Li ZM, Kuxhaus L, Fisk JA, Christophel TH. Coupling between wrist flexion-extension and radial-ulnar deviation. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2005;20(2):177-83. doi: 10.1016/j. clinbiomech.2004.10.002.
- 22. Neumann IL, Oliveira DA, Barros EL, Santos GS, Oliveira LS, et al. Resistance exercises improve physical function in chronic Chikungunya fever patients: a randomized controlled trial. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2021;57(4):620-9.
- 23. Franko OI, Zurakowski D, Day CS. Functional disability of the wrist: direct correlation with decreased wrist motion. J Hand Surg Am. 2008;33(4):485.E1-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jhsa.2008.01.005.
- 24. Rainbow MJ, Wolff AL, Crisco JJ, Wolfe SW. Functional kinematics of the wrist. J Hand Surg Eur Vol. 2016;41(1):7-21. doi: 10.1177/1753193415616939.
- 25. Burt FJ, Chen W, Miner JJ, Lenschow DJ, Merits A, et al. Chikungunya virus: an update on the biology and pathogenesis

of this emerging pathogen. Lancet Infect Dis. 2017;17(4):E107-17. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30385-1.

- Watson H, Tritsch SR, Encinales L, Cadena A, Cure C, et al. Stiffness, pain, and joint counts in chronic chikungunya disease: relevance to disability and quality of life. Clin Rheumatol. 2020;39(5):1679-86. doi: 10.1007/s10067-019-04919-1.
- 27. Paixão ES, Rodrigues LC, Costa MCN, Itaparica M, Barreto F, et al. Chikungunya chronic disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2018;112(7):301-16. doi: 10.1093/trstmh/try063.
- 28. Soumahoro MK, Gérardin P, Boëlle PY, Perrau J, Fianu A, et al. Impact of Chikungunya virus infection on health status and quality of life: a retrospective cohort study. PLoS One. 2009;4(11):e7800. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0007800.
- 29. Bastos MLA, Abreu FS, Silva Junior GB. Inability to work due to Chikungunya virus infection: impact on public service during the first epidemic in the State of Ceará northeastern Brazil. Braz J Infect Dis. 2018;22(3):248-9. doi: 10.1016/j.bjid.2018.05.002.
- 30. Amaral JK, Bilsborrow JB, Schoen RT. Chronic Chikungunya arthritis and rheumatoid arthritis: what they have in common. Am J Med. 2020;133(3):E91-7. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2019.10.005.
- 31. Xavier RM, Zerbini CAF, Pollak DF, Morales-Torres JLA, Chalem P, et al. Burden of rheumatoid arthritis on patients' work productivity and quality of life. Adv Rheumatol. 2019;59:47. doi: 10.1186/s42358-019-0090-8.